

Review

Problems with mitochondrial DNA as a marker in population, phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies: the effects of inherited symbionts

Gregory D. D. Hurst^{1,*} and Francis M. Jiggins²

¹*Department of Biology, University College London, 4 Stephenson Way, London NW1 2HE, UK*

²*Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Rd, Edinburgh EH9 3JT, UK*

Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) has been a marker of choice for reconstructing historical patterns of population demography, admixture, biogeography and speciation. However, it has recently been suggested that the pervasive nature of direct and indirect selection on this molecule renders any conclusion derived from it ambiguous. We review here the evidence for indirect selection on mtDNA in arthropods arising from linkage disequilibrium with maternally inherited symbionts. We note first that these symbionts are very common in arthropods and then review studies that reveal the extent to which they shape mtDNA evolution. mtDNA diversity patterns are compatible with neutral expectations for an uninfected population in only 2 of 19 cases. The remaining 17 studies revealed cases of symbiont-driven reduction in mtDNA diversity, symbiont-driven increases in diversity, symbiont-driven changes in mtDNA variation over space and symbiont-associated paralogy of mtDNA. We therefore conclude that these elements often confound the inference of an organism's evolutionary history from mtDNA data and that mtDNA on its own is an unsuitable marker for the study of recent historical events in arthropods. We also discuss the impact of these studies on the current programme of taxonomy based on DNA bar-coding.

Keywords: mtDNA; phylogeography; *Wolbachia*; symbiont; population genetics; selective sweep

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of evolution frequently requires understanding the history of the population, species or clade under study. In population genetics, a recent history of population bottlenecks may restrict genetic variation and thus constrain the speed of adaptation. In examining diversification over space, we need to have detailed knowledge of the different populations' histories of colonization and the gene flow between them. In comparative analyses of processes of adaptation or molecular evolution, and in studies of historical biogeography, we require resolution of the relationships between species.

Ascertainment of these patterns relies extensively on genetic markers. The most widely used of these in animals has been variation in the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequence. This choice was well reasoned. mtDNA can be easily amplified from a variety of taxa and, because it is haploid, the sequence can be obtained without cloning. Because it has a high evolutionary rate and an effective population size approximately one-quarter that of nuclear markers, it allows a chance of recovering the pattern and tempo of recent historical events without an extensive sequencing effort. Thirdly, as an area of at least low recombination, the whole molecule can be assumed to have the same genealogical history. In contrast, while stringent efforts have been made to develop and amplify nuclear markers, this often involves the refinement of

primers for the target species, the sampling of several genes before one with an appropriate evolutionary rate is discovered and the separation of alleles from heterozygous individuals via cloning before sequencing. While these problems can be overcome outside 'model' taxa where genomic sequence data are available, they increase the effort needed to gain the required information.

Mitochondrial DNA has therefore remained the marker of choice in many population, biogeographic and phylogenetic studies. Its use has also been recommended in taxonomic studies, with the proposal that all described species are given an mtDNA sequence tag or bar-code (Hebert *et al.* 2003). Indeed, the use of mtDNA differentiation in defining taxonomic units has been suggested. While mtDNA is a very useful marker, its use is not without complication. It was recognized at the outset that mtDNA was strictly a marker for historical processes in females; should male and female history differ in a species, then this marker would not reflect the history of the species as a whole but that of the female portion. Further, there have been technical issues arising from the presence of nuclear integrations of mtDNA sequence. mtDNA integrated into the nuclear genome may still amplify with conserved primers aimed at mitochondrial copies, complicating or confounding analysis (Bensasson *et al.* 2001).

Further to these known problems, Ballard & Whitlock (2004) have recently argued that mtDNA evolution is non-neutral with sufficient regularity to question its utility as a marker for genomic history. Direct selection (selection

* Author for correspondence (g.hurst@ucl.ac.uk).

on mtDNA itself) and indirect selection (selection arising from disequilibrium with other maternally transmitted genes) is sufficiently common to make inferences from mtDNA data unreliable. In this review, we examine evidence for indirect selection on mtDNA in arthropods arising from disequilibrium with vertically transmitted micro-organisms, and assess the potential of this linkage to confound an interpretation of the history of a population or species.

We first note that inherited symbionts are very common in invertebrates and can have profound effects on their host. We then present theory and data that suggest that the disequilibrium of symbionts with mtDNA can confound analysis of population, biogeographic and clade history. For ease of reference, these studies are summarized in table 1. Finally, we note that widespread symbiont incidence and diversity, combined with rapid turnover, means that their presence cannot be simply tested and controlled against. We therefore conclude that mtDNA is inappropriate as a sole marker in studies of the recent history of arthropods and, potentially, other invertebrates.

2. THE INCIDENCE OF INHERITED SYMBIONTS IN ARTHROPODS

It has long been recognized that many arthropods carry passenger micro-organisms: microbes that exist inside the cells of their host and pass from a female to her progeny through the egg. These micro-organisms can broadly be classified into two kinds: beneficial to the host and parasitic. In the case of the former, treatment of the arthropod host with antibiotics produces a decrease in host fitness (and commonly infertility or death). In the case of the latter, the drive that produces the spread of the micro-organism is frequently the manipulation of host reproduction towards the survival of infected females and the daughters of infected females.

Beneficial symbionts are found widely in arthropods and other invertebrates. The majority of aphid species, for instance, depend on the presence of the bacterium *Buchnera* to be able to synthesize essential amino acids. Cockroaches, termites and a variety of Hemiptera (e.g. white fly), Diptera (e.g. tsetse fly), Hymenoptera (e.g. carpenter ants) and Coleoptera (e.g. weevils) likewise rely on beneficial symbionts. Feeding on depauperate diet (blood throughout life; phloem; nitrogen-poor wood) are strong predisposing factors for the occurrence of these beneficial micro-organisms. The associations between beneficial symbionts and their host can be very long, as indicated by coeladogenesis of symbiont and host (e.g. Bandi *et al.* 1998). The observation that they have genomes that have shrunk massively over the course of symbiosis indicates that these beneficial symbionts undergo repeated selective sweeps associated with genomic rearrangements during the early parts of their association with the host (Wernegreen 2002).

Parasitic passenger micro-organisms are found even more widely. These micro-organisms show a variety of phenotypes associated with promoting the production and survival of infected daughters (which can transmit the maternally transmitted micro-organism) via negative effects on the production and survival of infected males and uninfected females (which cannot). In the simplest

case, this involves creating a female bias in the host sex ratio. This is represented by cases of parthenogenesis induction in haplodiploid species and feminization in Crustacea. In a twist to this manipulation, killing of male hosts during embryogenesis is common in insects. Here, the advantage derives from removing any negative effects that male hosts may have on their sisters. Examples are found in Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, Diptera, Coleoptera and Lepidoptera. Even in *Drosophila*, which for ecological reasons are not the most likely to bear male-killers, there are 14 records of male-killing bacteria, indicating these parasites are widely present (Hurst & Majerus 1993). The incidence is certainly higher in other species, such as the coccinellid beetles.

The most commonly observed form of parasitism is cytoplasmic incompatibility, which differs subtly in logic from sex ratio distortion. In this manipulation, zygotes formed following fertilization of an uninfected egg with sperm from an infected male die during early embryogenesis. This behaviour produces the spread of the infection in structured populations, as the bacterial phenotype is essentially one of selectively killing uninfected individuals. Cytoplasmic incompatibility has been described in insects, mites and crustaceans, and is probably very common (although cryptic, as frequently all individuals within a population are infected; Stouthamer *et al.* 1999). Two micro-organisms, *Wolbachia* and *Cardinium*, are known to induce it (Breeuwer *et al.* 1992; Hunter *et al.* 2003).

In total, these parasitic interactions are common. In large surveys, repeatable over geographical regions and across arthropod groups, *Wolbachia* alone infects in excess of 20% of insect species at any point in time (Werren *et al.* 1995; Werren & Windsor 2000; Jiggins *et al.* 2001) and, in a limited survey, just over 50% of spiders (Rowley *et al.* 2004). The bacterium *Cardinium* infects around 7% of arthropods (Weeks *et al.* 2003).

The interactions between parasitic symbionts and their hosts are relatively short-lived in comparison to beneficial symbioses. While coeladogenesis of host and *Wolbachia* is sometimes observed (e.g. Marshall 2004), studies on focused host clades have indicated it is rather rare (Shoemaker *et al.* 2002). The mean lifespan of any particular interaction is therefore generally less than the mean time to speciation. This conclusion is reinforced by the observation of three actively spreading *Wolbachia* infections in natural populations (Turelli & Hoffmann 1991; Hoshizaki & Shimada 1995; Riegler & Stauffer 2002). Thus, we can conclude that the 20% incidence reflects a pattern where new infections must arise relatively commonly. As a final complicating feature, it should be noted that a single population may be infected with more than one strain or species of parasitic inherited micro-organism and that different populations may show different infection statuses.

Finally, recent study has revealed the widespread presence of 'secondary symbionts'. These are vertically transmitted micro-organisms that are not essential but appear to be locally beneficial (e.g. enhancing resistance to parasitoids and pathogens or adaptation to growth on a particular species of host plant; Oliver *et al.* 2003; Ferrari *et al.* 2004; Tsuchida *et al.* 2004). These can be common and it is known that their frequency varies geographically (Tsuchida *et al.* 2002). What is uncertain is the extent of

Table 1. Known effects of symbionts on mtDNA variation.

host	symbiont	observation	references
<i>Porcellionides pruinosus</i> (Crustacea: Isopoda)	<i>Wolbachia</i> (probably feminizing)	infected and uninfected woodlice from southern populations have divergent mtDNA sequences	Marcade <i>et al.</i> (1999)
<i>Armadillidium vulgare</i> (Crustacea: Isopoda)	feminizing <i>Wolbachia</i>	<i>Wolbachia</i> associated with a subset of mitotypes in the population	Grandjean <i>et al.</i> (1993), Rigaud <i>et al.</i> (1999)
<i>Gammarus duebeni</i> (Crustacea: Amphipoda)	feminizing microsporidia	association between infecting feminizer species and mtDNA haplotypes	Ironside <i>et al.</i> (2003)
<i>Adalia bipunctata</i> (Coleoptera)	male-killing <i>Spiroplasma</i> , <i>Rickettsia</i> and <i>Wolbachia</i>	frequency of mtDNA haplotypes associated with the bacteria in the population, not geography	Schulenburg <i>et al.</i> (2002)
<i>Chelymorpha alternans</i> (Coleoptera)	<i>Wolbachia</i>	mtDNA diversity not different from neutrality	Keller <i>et al.</i> (2004)
<i>Acraea encedana</i> (Lepidoptera)	male-killing <i>Wolbachia</i>	a selective sweep has significantly reduced mtDNA diversity in infected and uninfected butterflies	Jiggins (2003)
<i>Acraea encedon</i> (Lepidoptera)	male-killing <i>Wolbachia</i>	reduced mtDNA diversity in infected but not uninfected butterflies. Two strains of <i>Wolbachia</i> associated with different mtDNA haplotypes. Geographical structure in uninfected, but not infected, mtDNA. mtDNA has introgressed with <i>Wolbachia</i> from <i>A. encedana</i>	Jiggins (2003)
<i>Ostrinia furnacalis</i> (Lepidoptera)	male-killing <i>Wolbachia</i>	mtDNA sequences in infected and uninfected moths are paraphyletic	F. Jiggins, unpublished data
<i>Orseolia oryzae</i> (Diptera)	<i>Wolbachia</i> (effect on host unknown)	three highly diverged mitotypes. One is maternally inherited and associated with <i>Wolbachia</i> . The other two are paternally inherited and found in uninfected males	Behura <i>et al.</i> (2001)
<i>Drosophila recens</i> (Diptera)	cytoplasmic incompatibility <i>Wolbachia</i>	lower mtDNA diversity but similar nuclear DNA diversity compared with related uninfected species. Elevated rate of substitution	Shoemaker <i>et al.</i> (1999, 2004)
<i>Drosophila innubila</i> (Diptera)	male-killing <i>Wolbachia</i>	lower mtDNA diversity compared with nuclear DNA associated with an ancient infection. mtDNA diversity is as expected from neutral models with reduced N_e of mtDNA associated with infection	Dyer & Jaenike (2004)
<i>Drosophila santomea</i> , <i>D. yakuba</i> and <i>D. teissieri</i> (Diptera)	<i>Wolbachia</i> (effect on host unknown)	these species have very similar mtDNA and <i>Wolbachia</i> , but have diverged in nuclear DNA. Probably introgression of mtDNA and <i>Wolbachia</i> between species	Monnerot <i>et al.</i> (1990), Lachaise <i>et al.</i> (2000)
<i>Drosophila mauritiana</i> (Diptera)	<i>Wolbachia</i> (effect on host unknown)	two paraphyletic mitotypes. One has introgressed together with <i>Wolbachia</i> infection from <i>D. simulans</i>	Rousset & Solignac (1995), Ballard (2000b)
<i>Drosophila simulans</i> (Diptera)	cytoplasmic incompatibility <i>Wolbachia</i>	selective sweep has significantly reduced mtDNA nucleotide diversity in infected populations versus uninfected. Geographical structure determined by symbiont strain present, not population history	Ballard & Kreitman (1994), Ballard <i>et al.</i> (1996), Ballard (2000a), Dean <i>et al.</i> (2003)

(Continued.)

Table 1 (Continued.)

host	symbiont	observation	references
<i>Aedes albopictus</i> (Diptera)	cytoplasmic incompatibility <i>Wolbachia</i>	low mtDNA diversity relative to nuclear DNA. mtDNA observed hitchhiking with <i>Wolbachia</i> in laboratory cages	Kambhampati <i>et al.</i> (1992), Birungi & Munstermann (2002), Armbruster <i>et al.</i> (2003)
<i>Protocalliphora sialia</i> (Diptera)	<i>Wolbachia</i> (effect on host unknown)	infected hosts have less diverse mtDNA than uninfected hosts. Evidence of a selective sweep and linkage disequilibrium between bacterial strains and mtDNA. Geographical structure determined by symbiont strain present, not population history	Baudry <i>et al.</i> (2003)
<i>Formica execta</i> (Hymenoptera)	<i>Wolbachia</i> (effect on host unknown)	ants infected with <i>Wolbachia</i> strain wFex1 have a different mtDNA haplotype from those lacking it	Reuter & Keller (2003)
<i>Solenopsis invicta</i> (Hymenoptera)	<i>Wolbachia</i> (effect on host unknown)	two paraphyletic mtDNA clades associated with different <i>Wolbachia</i> strains. No clear deviation from neutrality within clades, suggesting they are ancient. However, alternative hypotheses include two cryptic species	Shoemaker <i>et al.</i> (2000, 2003)
<i>Allonemobius</i> (Orthoptera)	<i>Wolbachia</i>	mtDNA diversity not different from neutral expectations. Evidence of mtDNA haplotype- <i>Wolbachia</i> strain association	Marshall (2004)

disequilibrium with mtDNA, which will be inversely related to the rate of these symbionts' horizontal transmission in the field.

3. PASSENGER MICRO-ORGANISMS AND THE POPULATION GENETICS OF mtDNA

Inherited micro-organisms will influence the population genetics of the host's mtDNA if they are cotransmitted and therefore in linkage disequilibrium. However, linkage disequilibrium will break down if either the symbiont or mitochondria are paternally or horizontally (infectiously) transmitted with sufficient frequency (Turelli *et al.* 1992). This process is equivalent to recombination breaking down the linkage between nuclear genes. Horizontal or paternal transmission of both symbionts and mtDNA has been documented in insects. Despite this, symbionts in natural populations are typically found in linkage disequilibrium with mtDNA (table 1), suggesting that such transmission is so infrequent as to be unimportant. For example, paternal transmission of both *Wolbachia* and mtDNA have been recorded in laboratory populations of *Drosophila simulans* but it is sufficiently rare that they remain in linkage disequilibrium in the field (Hoffmann & Turelli 1988; Kondo *et al.* 1990; Turelli *et al.* 1992). However, there are some species where infectious transmission of symbionts is so common that there is unlikely to be any association between the microbe and host mtDNA (Huigens *et al.* 2000).

Provided the assumption of linkage disequilibrium is met, if a population becomes infected with a symbiont that has sufficient drive to spread, the mtDNA type associated with the initial infection will hitchhike through the population ('indirect selection' on the mtDNA). This process has been recreated in laboratory populations of the mosquito *Aedes albopictus* infected with a *Wolbachia* strain that induces cytoplasmic incompatibility (Kambhampati *et al.* 1992). The most remarkable example, however, comes from Californian populations of *D. simulans*. These were originally uninfected, but during the 1980s they were invaded by a *Wolbachia* strain that induced cytoplasmic incompatibility (Turelli & Hoffmann 1991). This infection rapidly spread to a high prevalence and carried with it a mtDNA haplotype that was previously rare or absent in uninfected Californian populations (Turelli *et al.* 1992). Once the infection neared fixation, the original mtDNA haplotype was completely replaced by the haplotype linked to the symbiont. The sweeps of mtDNA seen in California *D. simulans* are probably regular events in insects. Despite being transient events, the spread of a new *Wolbachia* strain over space has also been documented in the delphacid bug *Laodelphax striatellus* and the fly *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Hoshizaki & Shimada 1995; Riegler & Stauffer 2002). These observations, together with the lack of cocladogenesis between hosts and symbionts discussed above, indicate that new interactions (which must involve symbiont spread) occur during the lifespan of many species.

It is clear from these studies that mtDNA within a population is affected by symbiont spread. Further, the ability of symbionts to spread between populations by occasional movement of hosts, and the ability of different host populations to maintain different symbiont strains, can also confound interpretation attempts to reconstruct the phylogeography of a species. The drive associated with

symbiont manipulation can even result in the spread of the symbiont into a new species following an occasional hybridization event. This will homogenize the mtDNA of different species. We now review the effects that symbionts may have on patterns within populations, between populations and between species variation in mtDNA.

4. THE EFFECT OF SYMBIONTS ON mtDNA DIVERSITY WITHIN POPULATIONS

If a population is infected by one or more symbionts, then patterns of mitochondrial polymorphism will be altered by natural selection acting on those symbionts. Depending on the recency of invasion and the number of symbionts present, they may either reduce or increase diversity. There can also be an alteration in the frequency distribution of haplotypes within the population (table 1). Unfortunately, it is these parameters that are used to infer the historical demography of populations and it is difficult to distinguish demographic from symbiont-induced effects.

The initial selective sweep that occurs as the symbiont invades, and subsequent sweeps of advantageous symbiont mutations, will reduce mtDNA diversity and skew the frequency distribution of alleles towards rare variants (Maynard-Smith & Haigh 1974; Tajima 1989b). These are similar patterns to those produced by population bottlenecks and expansions, and a selective sweep could thus easily be mistaken for these demographic processes (Tajima 1989a). Therefore, low mtDNA diversity alone should not be taken as evidence for a bottleneck or founder event, although this may sometimes be the case (see Rokas *et al.* (2001) for a genuine example). Instead, it is likely that many cases of low mtDNA variation are not caused by demographic events but selective sweeps of symbionts running through the population.

This is supported by the observation that parasitic symbionts are commonly associated with low mitochondrial diversity (seven cases in table 1). In at least two of these species, it has been shown that this has been caused by a selective sweep rather than a population bottleneck (Ballard & Kreitman 1994; Ballard 2000a; Jiggins 2003). These studies used an HKA test (Hudson *et al.* 1987) to show that the genetic diversity of mitochondrial genes was significantly less than their nuclear counterparts. This suggests that the low mtDNA diversity is caused by the symbiont, as demographic processes would have reduced the diversity of the entire genome.

These mitochondrial selective sweeps could result from selection on any maternally transmitted element, including the mitochondria themselves, symbionts or, in female heterogametic hosts, the W sex chromosome. Confirmation that selection is acting on the symbiont has come from comparisons of infected and uninfected hosts from the same population, from different populations or from different species. For example, uninfected *Acraea encedon* butterflies have more diverse mitochondria than *Wolbachia* infected individuals from the same population (Jiggins 2003). In a study that compared different populations of *D. simulans*, an uninfected population in East Africa was found to have greater mtDNA diversity than infected populations from elsewhere (Dean *et al.* 2003). Finally, *Wolbachia*-infected species have been found to harbour lower levels of mtDNA diversity than closely related uninfected species (Shoemaker *et al.* 1999, 2004).

The effect of a selective sweep on mtDNA diversity will in part depend on the time that has elapsed since it occurred, with diversity gradually increasing after a selective sweep. Therefore, these effects may be most important for parasitic symbionts, as these tend to have short-lived associations with their hosts and frequently transmit to new host species (Werren *et al.* 1995).

If a symbiont is imperfectly transmitted between generations, then any uninfected offspring produced by infected females will carry the mitochondrial haplotype associated with the infection. Because this process is unidirectional, the original mtDNA lineages in the uninfected hosts will ultimately be lost and replaced by the mtDNA type associated with the symbiont (Turelli *et al.* 1992; Johnstone & Hurst 1996). Therefore, the selective sweep may affect uninfected, as well as infected, hosts. However, whether this occurs will depend on the time that has elapsed since the symbiont invaded and the transmission rate of the symbiont from mother to offspring (Turelli *et al.* 1992; Johnstone & Hurst 1996). This is illustrated by two closely related species of butterfly, *Acraea encedana* and *A. encedon*, which are infected by male-killing *Wolbachia*. The infection in the former species is older and has a lower transmission rate than the latter species. As expected, the selective sweep has eliminated mtDNA variation from both infected and uninfected individuals of *A. encedana* but has only affected infected females of *A. encedon* (Jiggins 2003).

So far we have only considered the simplest scenario in which a single symbiont invades an uninfected population. It is also common to find multiple strains of cytoplasmic incompatibility inducing *Wolbachia* co-infecting the same individuals. In this situation, the effects on mtDNA will be qualitatively similar to a single infection. However, some symbionts are polymorphic, with different infections occurring in different individuals but never co-infecting the same host. In the five cases where this has been examined, the different symbionts are associated with different mtDNA sequences (James & Ballard 2000; Schulenburg *et al.* 2002; Baudry *et al.* 2003; Jiggins 2003). Therefore, although a selective sweep may reduce the diversity of mtDNA associated with any one symbiont, high levels of diversity may be maintained within the population as a whole across different infection classes and the diversity of a population will depend on the number of symbionts it harbours. This can result in a mitochondrial genealogy with deep internal branches and short terminal branches, which could easily be mistaken as evidence for population structure and admixture (F. Jiggins, unpublished results).

Once a symbiont has invaded and reached equilibrium, the associated mtDNA will gradually accumulate mutations, and patterns of polymorphism may eventually resemble those expected under neutrality (e.g. Keller *et al.* 2004; Marshall 2004). However, it is notable that there are only two case studies from 19 in which mtDNA diversity in symbiont-infected species was compatible with that expected in the comparable uninfected species. There are several reasons why diversity may not return to 'normal'. The first is that mutations may occur that increase the symbiont's fitness and are fixed by positive selection, resulting in recurrent selective sweeps through the population. Evidence for this process comes from a strong positive selection found to act on certain symbiont genes

(Jiggins *et al.* 2002), which contrasts with mitochondrial genes that tend to be under a purifying selection. This process may be particularly important in parasitic interactions, where host–parasite coevolution can result in strong directional selection (Jiggins *et al.* 2002). However, if an advantageous mutation occurs in a beneficial symbiont, it may also cause a selective sweep of mtDNA. That this may be a regular occurrence is suggested by the observation that genome reduction of beneficial symbionts is common during the early stages of symbiosis and must be associated with selective sweeps within the population.

The second reason that diversity may fail to return to pre-infection levels is that the effective population size of mtDNA is lower after infection. There are two causes of this. First, in cases where there is inefficient transmission, only mutations in infected individuals can spread. Thus, the effective population size diminishes to that of the number of infected females (Johnstone & Hurst 1996). In cases of low prevalence infection, as found for many male-killers, significant reduction in diversity at equilibrium is therefore expected. The reduced variation in mtDNA relative to nuclear DNA in *D. immubila*, compared with the same metric in *D. falleni*, an uninfected sibling species, can be explained by a model based on the reduced effective population size of mtDNA associated with a prevalence of infection in this species of 35% (Dyer & Jaenike 2004). The second cause of diminished effective population size is greater efficacy of background selection in the presence of a symbiont. Background selection can be understood as a reduction in the mitochondrial effective population size to the proportion of cytoplasm free from deleterious mutations (Charlesworth *et al.* 1993). Its impact on mtDNA diversity will be greatest when the deleterious mutation rate is highest. Considering the relative mutation rates and genome sizes of symbionts and mtDNA (Tamura 1992; Ochman *et al.* 1999; Sun *et al.* 2001; Wernegreen 2002), the total cytoplasmic mutation rate will be increased roughly tenfold in symbiont-infected hosts. However, it is unclear whether mitochondrial and symbiont mutations will have similar effects on fitness, nor whether mtDNA lies in a region of parameter space where background selection is important.

5. PHYLOGEOGRAPHIC IMPACTS: BETWEEN POPULATION EFFECTS OF PASSENGER MICRO-ORGANISMS

There are two potential effects of passenger micro-organisms on mtDNA structure between populations. The first is that the migration of an infected individual from one population into an uninfected one can produce a sweep of the infection and associated mtDNA haplotype through the population. This will homogenize the haplotypes of the two populations. This, of course, happens without homogenization of nuclear genes, but if only the mtDNA is studied the populations appear to be fully mictic and unstructured. As discussed above, selective sweeps of symbionts are probably common events.

The second effect is that mtDNA differentiation between populations may be increased due to heterogeneity of the infections between populations. This could occur if natural selection maintains different symbionts in

different populations despite migration between them. Alternatively, if a selective sweep occurs in one population but not another, then this could also inflate measures of population differentiation.

Drosophila simulans provides examples of both of these phenomena. As discussed previously, the spread of *Wolbachia* strain *wRi* through California was accompanied by a sweep of the mtDNA, homogenizing the haplotype of the Californian population to that of the strain from which the invading fly derived. Geographical variation in the infecting strain present is also known to maintain mtDNA differentiation between populations of *D. simulans*, with each infection producing a different ‘compatibility type’ (they do not rescue each other’s zygotic death phenotype). Different strains of infection have different associated mtDNA haplotypes (and populations of different infection status differ in mtDNA haplotypes) and appear isolated (James & Ballard 2000). However, examination of nuclear markers indicates they are not isolated with respect to nuclear genes; these still flow between populations (Ballard *et al.* 2002). This situation is also observed for the blowfly *Protocalliphora* in the USA, where different populations bear different strains of *Wolbachia* and different mtDNA types, but these patterns do not reflect the population history inferred from nuclear markers (Baudry *et al.* 2003).

The geographical structuring of mtDNA associated with different infections can also be seen for different infections with male-killing bacteria. The two-spot ladybird, *Adalia bipunctata*, bears three different male-killing infections within Europe (Hurst *et al.* 1999a,b). The frequency of these infections varies geographically, with one of the infections found all over Europe and the other two with more restrictive incidence. Analysis of mtDNA diversity across Europe indicates that diversity can be accounted for by variation in infection status. Geography did not explain any of the variation when infection status was controlled (Schulenburg *et al.* 2002). Structuring associated with different symbiont strains over space is also seen in *Acraea encedon* (Jiggins 2003).

6. EFFECTS ON THE mtDNA PHYLOGENY OF RECENTLY DIVERGED SPECIES

At first sight, passenger micro-organisms are expected to affect the dynamics of mtDNA within populations but not the branching pattern of mtDNA on a phylogeny. However, two case studies indicate this is not necessarily the case. First, there is the *A. encedon* and *A. encedana* species pair. These both bear a male-killing *Wolbachia* infection, and evidence from the *Wolbachia* sequence indicates they are very closely related strains (note that *A. encedon* also has a second, more distantly related, infection). When the phylogeny of individuals of the different species is constructed based on mtDNA, *A. encedon* and *A. encedana* individuals that bear the same *Wolbachia* infection bear identical mtDNA sequences, distinct from that found in uninfected *A. encedon* individuals. While the species clearly are distinct on morphological grounds, on the grounds of nuclear DNA sequences and in terms of genetic isolation, they appear identical on the basis of the mtDNA sequences of the infected individuals.

Table 2. Confounding influences of inherited symbionts on the interpretation of the history of a species from mtDNA.

observation	classical explanation	alternative
low mtDNA diversity within population	bottleneck of species due to colonization or population size contraction	recent spread of a symbiont or new strain of existing symbiont
high mtDNA diversity within population	large and old population	presence of more than one symbiont strain within population maintains diversity
low mtDNA diversity between populations	exchange of genes on regular basis or recent isolation	symbiont-induced selective sweep through both populations, homogenizing mtDNA
high mtDNA diversity between populations	historical isolation of populations	presence of different symbiont strains maintaining haplotype structure despite nuclear gene flow
paraphyly of single species on mtDNA-based tree	true paraphyly or incorrect previous ascertainment of species status	transfer of symbiont via hybridization, followed by selective sweep. Good species, not paraphyletic

The most likely explanation for this is that rare hybridization events, although producing very little in the way of flow of nuclear genes, can produce the transfer of the male-killer and associated mitotype from species to species. This male-killer has a drive mechanism that results in its increasing in frequency, despite initially being in poorly adapted hybrid individuals, and the infection and associated mitotype spreads into the new species.

This transfer and fixation of mtDNA following hybridization would appear at first sight to be a rare event. However, it has also been observed in *Drosophila*. In *Drosophila*, mtDNA has introgressed between *D. simulans* and *D. mauritiana*, associated with *Wolbachia* infection (Rousset & Solignac 1995; Ballard 2000b). Anecdotally, three out of four cases we have studied in our laboratories have shown evidence of symbiont-induced mtDNA introgression confounding phylogenetic estimation from an mtDNA sequence.

It is possible that symbiont-driven introgression may explain some recent case studies where mtDNA phylogenies conflict with those obtained from nuclear DNA. Shaw (2002), for instance, observes that while certain crickets of the genus *Laupala* in Hawaii do not differ in mtDNA sequence, they do exhibit substantial differentiation at nuclear loci. This incongruence points to selection causing introgression of the mtDNA following hybridization. A good hypothesis for this observation is that hybridization carries novel symbiont infections. The spread of the introgressed symbiont would then be associated with the spread of introgressed mtDNA, homogenizing mtDNA variation across the species boundary despite a high genetic integrity of the species as recorded on nuclear markers.

7. CONCLUSIONS

Indirect selection on mtDNA arising from disequilibrium with inherited micro-organisms is very common in arthropods and is probably widespread in many other invertebrates. While we have documented cases associated with parasitic-inherited micro-organisms, it is known that required beneficial micro-organisms are also in linkage disequilibrium with mtDNA (Funk *et al.* 2000; Hurtado *et al.* 2003). This will also produce selective sweeps as advantageous symbiont mutations spread through the population, particularly in recently evolved interactions. It

is also possible that disequilibrium with secondary symbionts (beneficial symbionts that are not required: see §2) will likewise produce sweeps and additionally produce geographical structures in host mtDNA.

These associations will all confound simple interpretations of genomic history from mtDNA data. A brief description of classical explanations for patterns of mtDNA diversity, along with potential explanations for the pattern based on indirect selection associated with symbiosis, is given in table 2. It is our conclusion that the interactions occur commonly enough that the presence of these symbionts makes it both unsafe and unsatisfactory to infer patterns of genome history based on mtDNA sequence data. As a preliminary judgment, therefore, we would regard the development and use of microsatellites for intraspecific study, and nuclear coding genes for phylogenetic study, a requirement to reveal the history of nuclear DNA. This judgment is preliminary because a full test of this issue requires testing the congruence of mtDNA and nuclear DNA patterns of variability across a range of clades, where symbiont presence has not been ascertained and not (as in our reviewed studies) been used as a proband to seek the effects. We do, however, regard the above studies combined with the known high incidence of *Wolbachia* as an evidential 'smoking gun' that urges precaution in the first instant, pending formal testing.

One recent and contentious use of mtDNA sequence is in DNA bar-coding (Hebert *et al.* 2003; 2004a). In DNA bar-coding, the sequence of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 (COI) gene is used for the purpose of taxonomic identification and assessment of biodiversity, with the philosophy that for each species there is one bar-code (and reciprocally, one bar-code indicates a given species). DNA bar-coding relies on there being low levels of mtDNA variation within a species compared with differentiation between species and monophyly of mtDNA within species. While there may generally be low mtDNA diversity within a species, and species may frequently be delineable by mtDNA, the case studies above make it clear that symbionts can disrupt this pattern. They can homogenize biological species for mtDNA following introgression of symbionts, as in *Acraea* and *Drosophila* (cases of one bar-code, two species). They may also make one species

appear as two on the basis of high levels of intraspecific variation in a mtDNA sequence associated with possession of different symbiont strains, as in *Adalia* (cases of two bar-codes, one species).

While it is sure from the above and other studies that bar-coding will create some mistakes, what is unsure is the frequency of mistakes and whether this frequency exceeds tolerance limits. While a review of species polyphyly on the basis of mtDNA suggested 23% of species may not be monophyletic for mtDNA sequences (Funk & Omland 2003), bar-coding tests have not revealed this pattern (Hebert *et al.* 2003; 2004a). However, with notable exceptions (Hebert *et al.*, 2004b), past tests have tended not to explicitly test the ability to discriminate a range of closely related sibling species but rather a range of congenics, many of which are relatively distantly related. Further, the sample size used when testing intraspecific variation has often been limited, with one or two extra individuals within known species obtained and found to possess very similar COI sequences to those previously found. Indeed, where they have been found to carry divergent sequences, the inference has been made that the process has revealed cryptic species, rather than that mtDNA can act as a poor marker.

Thus, while bar-coding clearly has utility for placing unknown specimens in the genera in which they belong, its utility at the species level is much less certain. The potential limitations of DNA bar-coding are well illustrated by the insect group for which we have the most detailed understanding of both taxonomy and genetic diversity, the melanogaster subgroup of *Drosophila*. Of the nine species in this subgroup, only three have unique bar-codes. It is likely that five of the six cases where bar-coding fails are due to the presence of *Wolbachia* (table 1). Again, this evidence requires full evaluation across an array of clades where infection status is not a proband for the study.

Beyond the specific question of bar-coding, can we rescue the use of mtDNA as a marker? In some studies, authors have tested for and excluded the presence of *Wolbachia* in a particular species and thus inferred low mtDNA diversity to indicate evidence of a bottleneck (e.g. Johnson *et al.* 2004). However, this approach is not completely safe. Notwithstanding the possibility of direct selection on mtDNA, *Wolbachia* is one of many symbionts. *Cardinium*, for instance, infects approximately 7% of arthropods (Weeks *et al.* 2003). Aside from these, bacteria from many different major divisions can be found in arthropods (e.g. Hurst *et al.* 1999a), as can vertically transmitted microsporidia (Terry *et al.* 2004). Many vertically transmitted bacteria have closely related free-living forms and many remain to be discovered. Thus, polymerase chain reaction assays for the presence or absence of all symbionts are simply not possible and only a microscopy-based screen on the dissected ovaries of 100 individuals could really provide evidence that symbionts were absent. In addition to this, it is possible to find a false negative for symbiont presence if sampling is not sufficiently intensive, because symbionts are sometimes only present in a minority of individuals unless sampling is intensive (e.g. Jiggins *et al.* 2001). Finally, current absence (if it could be proven) is no assurance of past absence. The lack of cocladogenesis of *Wolbachia* and their hosts indicates that interactions are relatively short-lived in

evolutionary time (Shoemaker *et al.* 2002). Low mtDNA diversity could be caused by a symbiont that has subsequently died out within the species.

A more promising approach is to test mtDNA datasets for the signature of natural selection that will be left by the spread of symbionts. Unfortunately, this is not simple because the demographic processes of interest will often produce similar patterns to selection acting on symbionts (Tajima 1989a). This problem can only be reliably overcome by corroborating the inferences made using mtDNA with data from nuclear genes (Rokas *et al.* 2001).

We therefore conclude that mtDNA alone cannot be used to reliably infer population history or the history of closely related species in arthropods as there is a very high probability of an incorrect conclusion due to indirect selection arising from the presence of a symbiont. Reciprocally, it is also problematic to infer symbiont history from mtDNA alone. We argue that mtDNA should never be used as a sole marker in studies of either genomic or symbiont history. While our arguments above derive from studies of arthropods, we would caution that these arguments may apply in a wider range of species. There are several records of inherited bacteria being present in nematodes (Adams & Eichenmuller 1963; Marti *et al.* 1995; Sironi *et al.* 1995) and also records of inherited bacteria in disequilibrium with mtDNA in molluscs (Hurtado *et al.* 2003). It should not be assumed that taxa lack symbionts until careful surveys have been carried out because recent history indicates that even well studied groups (e.g. filarial nematodes) can in fact be covertly infected with inherited symbionts (Sironi *et al.* 1995).

We wish to thank Jim Mallet for encouragement to write this piece and for comments. GH wishes to acknowledge support from the BBSRC and NERC. FJ wishes to acknowledge support from the Wellcome Trust.

REFERENCES

- Adams, R. E. & Eichenmuller, J. J. 1963 A bacterial infection of *Xiphenema americanum*. *Phytopathology* **53**, 745.
- Armbruster, P., Damsky, W. E., Giordano, R., Birungi, J., Munstermann, L. E. & Conn, J. E. 2003 Infection of new- and old-world *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) by the intracellular parasite *Wolbachia*: implications for host mitochondrial DNA evolution. *J. Med. Ent.* **40**, 356–360.
- Ballard, J. W. O. 2000a Comparative genomics of mitochondrial DNA in *Drosophila simulans*. *J. Mol. Evol.* **51**, 64–75.
- Ballard, J. W. O. 2000b When one is not enough: introgression of mitochondrial DNA in *Drosophila*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **17**, 1126–1130.
- Ballard, J. W. O. & Kreitman, M. 1994 Unravelling selection in the mitochondrial genome of *Drosophila*. *Genetics* **138**, 757–772.
- Ballard, J. W. O. & Whitlock, M. C. 2004 The incomplete natural history of mitochondria. *Mol. Ecol.* **13**, 729–744.
- Ballard, J. W. O., Hatzidakis, J., Karr, T. L. & Krietman, M. 1996 Reduced variation in *Drosophila simulans* mitochondrial DNA. *Genetics* **144**, 1519–1528.
- Ballard, J. W. O., Chernoff, B. & James, A. C. 2002 Divergence of mitochondrial DNA is not corroborated by nuclear DNA, morphology, or behaviour in *Drosophila simulans*. *Evolution* **56**, 527–545.
- Bandi, C., Anderson, T. J. C., Genchi, C. & Blaxter, M. L. 1998 Phylogeny of *Wolbachia* in filarial nematodes. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **265**, 2407–2413. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1998.0591.)

- Baudry, E., Bartos, J., Emerson, K., Whitworth, T. & Werren, J. H. 2003 *Wolbachia* and genetic variability in the birdnest blowfly *Protocalliphora sialia*. *Mol. Ecol.* **12**, 1843–1854.
- Behura, S. K., Sahu, S. C., Mohan, M. & Nair, S. 2001 *Wolbachia* in the Asian rice gall midge, *Orseolia oryzae* (Wood-Mason): correlation between host mitotypes and infection status. *Ins. Mol. Biol.* **10**, 163–171.
- Bensasson, D., Zhang, D. X., Hartl, D. L. & Hewitt, G. M. 2001 Mitochondrial pseudogenes: evolution's misplaced witnesses. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **16**, 314–321.
- Birungi, J. & Munstermann, L. E. 2002 Genetic structure of *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) populations based on mitochondrial ND5 sequences: evidence for an independent invasion into Brazil and United States. *Ann. Ent. Soc. Am.* **95**, 125–132.
- Breeuwer, J. A. J., Stouthamer, R., Barns, S. M., Pelletier, D. A., Weisburg, W. G. & Werren, J. H. 1992 Phylogeny of cytoplasmic incompatibility micro-organisms in the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) based on 16S ribosomal DNA sequences. *Insect Mol. Biol.* **1**, 25–36.
- Charlesworth, B., Morgan, M. T. & Charlesworth, D. 1993 The effect of deleterious mutations on neutral genetic variation. *Genetics* **134**, 1289–1303.
- Dean, M. D., Ballard, K. J., Glass, A. & Ballard, J. W. O. 2003 Influence of two *Wolbachia* strains on population structure of East African *Drosophila simulans*. *Genetics* **165**, 1959–1969.
- Dyer, K. A. & Jaenike, J. J. 2004 Evolutionary stable infection by a male-killing endosymbiont in *Drosophila innubila*: molecular evidence from the host and parasite genomes. *Genetics* **168**, 1443–1455.
- Ferrari, J., Darby, A. C., Daniell, T. J., Godfray, H. C. J. & Douglas, A. E. 2004 Linking the bacterial community in pea aphids with host-plant use and natural enemy resistance. *Ecol. Ent.* **29**, 60–65.
- Funk, D. J. & Omland, K. E. 2003 Species level paralogy and polyphyly: frequency, causes, and consequences, with insights from animal mitochondrial DNA. *A. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **34**, 397–423.
- Funk, D. J., Helbling, L., Wernegreen, J. J. & Moran, N. A. 2000 Intraspecific phylogenetic congruence among multiple symbiont genomes. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **267**, 2517–2521. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2000.1314.)
- Grandjean, F., Rigaud, T., Raimond, R., Juchault, P. & Souty-Grosset, C. 1993 Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism and feminizing sex factors dynamics in a natural population of *Armadillidium vulgare* (Crustacea, Isopoda). *Genetica* **92**, 55–60.
- Hebert, P. D. N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S. L. & DeWaard, J. R. 2003 Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **270**, 313–321. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2218.)
- Hebert, P. D. N., Stoeckle, M. Y., Zemlak, T. S. & Francis, C. M. 2004a Identification of birds through DNA barcodes. *PLoS Biol.* **2**, 1657–1663.
- Hebert, P. D. N., Penton, E. H., Burns, J. M., Janzen, D. H. & Hallwachs, W. 2004b Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astraptes fulgerator*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 14 812–14 817.
- Hoffmann, A. A. & Turelli, M. 1988 Unidirectional incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*: inheritance, geographic variation and fitness effects. *Genetics* **119**, 435–444.
- Hoshizaki, S. & Shimada, T. 1995 PCR-based detection of *Wolbachia*, cytoplasmic microorganisms, infected in natural populations of *Laodelphax striatellus* (Homoptera: Delphacidae) in central Japan: has the distribution of *Wolbachia* spread recently? *Insect Mol. Biol.* **4**, 237–243.
- Hudson, R. R., Kreitman, M. & Aquade, M. 1987 A test of neutral molecular evolution based on nucleotide data. *Genetics* **116**, 153–159.
- Huigens, M. E., Luck, R. F., Klaassen, R. H. G., Maas, M., Timmermans, M. & Stouthamer, R. 2000 Infectious parthenogenesis. *Nature* **405**, 178–179.
- Hunter, M. S., Perlman, S. J. & Kelly, S. E. 2003 A bacterial symbiont in the *Bacteroidetes* induces cytoplasmic incompatibility in the parasitoid wasp *Encarsia pergandiella*. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **270**, 2185–2190. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2475.)
- Hurst, G. D. D. & Majerus, M. E. N. 1993 Why do maternally inherited microorganisms kill males? *Heredity* **71**, 81–95.
- Hurst, G. D. D., Schulenburg, J. H. G. v. d., Majerus, T. M. O., Bertrand, D., Zakharov, I. A., Baungard, J., Volkl, W., Stouthamer, R. & Majerus, M. E. N. 1999 Invasion of one insect species, *Adalia bipunctata*, by two different male-killing bacteria. *Insect Mol. Biol.* **8**, 133–139.
- Hurst, G. D. D. et al. 1999 Male-killing *Wolbachia* in two species of insect. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **266**, 735–740. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1999.0698.)
- Hurtado, L. A., Mateos, M., Lutz, R. A. & Vrijenhoek, R. C. 2003 Coupling of bacterial endosymbiont and host mitochondrial genomes in the hydrothermal vent clam *Calyptogena magnifica*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **69**, 2058–2064.
- Ironside, J. E., Dunn, A. M., Rollinson, D. & Smith, J. E. 2003 Association with host mitochondrial haplotypes suggests that feminizing microsporidia lack horizontal transmission. *J. Evol. Biol.* **16**, 1077–1083.
- James, A. C. & Ballard, J. W. O. 2000 Expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans* and its impact on infection frequencies and distribution of *Wolbachia pipientis*. *Evolution* **54**, 1661–1672.
- Jiggins, F. M. 2003 Male-killing *Wolbachia* and mitochondrial DNA: selective sweeps, hybrid introgression and parasite population dynamics. *Genetics* **164**, 5–12.
- Jiggins, F. M., Bentley, J. K., Majerus, M. E. N. & Hurst, G. D. D. 2001 How many species are infected with *Wolbachia*? Cryptic sex ratio distorters revealed to be common by intensive sampling. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **268**, 1123–1126. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2001.1632.)
- Jiggins, F. M., Hurst, G. D. D. & Yang, Z. 2002 Host-symbiont conflicts: positive selection on the outer membrane protein of parasitic but not mutualistic Rickettsiaceae. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **19**, 1341–1349.
- Johnson, A. J., Schemerhorn, B. J. & Shukle, R. H. 2004 A first assessment of mitochondrial DNA variation and geographic distribution of haplotypes in Hessian fly (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae). *Ann. Ent. Soc. Am.* **97**, 940–948.
- Johnstone, R. A. & Hurst, G. D. D. 1996 Maternally inherited male-killing microorganisms may confound interpretation of mtDNA variation in insects. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **53**, 453–470.
- Kambhampati, S., Rai, K. S. & Verleye, D. M. 1992 Frequencies of mitochondrial-DNA haplotypes in laboratory cage populations of the mosquito, *Aedes albopictus*. *Genetics* **132**, 205–209.
- Keller, G. P., Windsor, D. M., Saucedo, J. M. & Werren, J. H. 2004 Reproductive effects and geographical distributions of two *Wolbachia* strains infecting the Neotropical beetle, *Chelymorpha alternans* Boh. (Chrysomelidae, Cassidinae). *Mol. Ecol.* **13**, 2405–2420.
- Kondo, R., Satta, Y., Matsuura, E. T., Ishiwa, H., Takahata, N. & Chigusa, S. I. 1990 Incomplete maternal transmission of mitochondrial-DNA in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* **126**, 657–663.
- Lachaise, D., Harry, M., Solignac, M., Lemeunier, F., Benassi, V. & Cariou, M.-L. 2000 Evolutionary novelties

- in islands: *Drosophila santomea*, a new *melanogaster* sister species from São Tomé. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **267**, 1487–1495. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2000.1169.)
- Marcade, I., Souty-Grosset, C., Bouchon, D., Rigaud, T. & Raimond, R. 1999 Mitochondrial DNA variability and *Wolbachia* infection in two sibling woodlice species. *Heredity* **83**, 71–78.
- Marshall, J. M. 2004 The *Allonemobius*–*Wolbachia* host–endosymbiont system: evidence for rapid speciation and against reproductive isolation driven by cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Evolution* **58**, 2409–2425.
- Marti, O. G. J., Rogers, C. E. & Styer, E. L. 1995 Report of an intracellular bacterial symbiont in *Noctuidonema guyanese*, an ectoparasitic nematode of *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *J. Invert. Pathol.* **66**, 94–96.
- Maynard-Smith, J. & Haigh, J. 1974 The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable gene. *Genet. Res.* **23**, 23–35.
- Monnerot, M., Solignac, M. & Wolstenholme, D. R. 1990 Discrepancy in divergence of the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes of *Drosophila teissieri* and *Drosophila yakuba*. *J. Mol. Evol.* **30**, 500–508.
- Ochman, H., Elwyn, S. & Moran, N. A. 1999 Calibrating bacterial evolution. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **96**, 12 638–12 643.
- Oliver, K. M., Russell, J. A., Moran, N. A. & Hunter, M. S. 2003 Facultative bacterial symbionts in aphids confer resistance to parasitic wasps. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 1803–1807.
- Reuter, M. & Keller, L. 2003 High levels of multiple *Wolbachia* infection and recombination in the ant *Formica exsecta*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **20**, 748–753.
- Riegler, M. & Stauffer, C. 2002 *Wolbachia* infections and superinfections in cytoplasmically incompatible populations of the European cherry fruit fly *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera, Tephritidae). *Mol. Ecol.* **11**, 2425–2434.
- Rigaud, T., Bouchon, D., Souty-Grosset, C. & Raimond, P. 1999 Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism, sex ratio distorters and population genetics in the isopod *Armadillidium vulgare*. *Genetics* **152**, 1669–1677.
- Rokas, A., Atkinson, R. J., Brown, G. S., West, S. A. & Stone, G. N. 2001 Understanding patterns of genetic diversity in the oak gallwasp *Biorhiza pallida*: demographic history or a *Wolbachia* selective sweep? *Heredity* **87**, 294–304.
- Rousset, F. & Solignac, M. 1995 The evolution of single and double *Wolbachia* symbioses during speciation in the *Drosophila simulans* complex. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **92**, 6389–6393.
- Rowley, S. M., Raven, R. J. & McGraw, E. A. 2004 *Wolbachia pipientis* in Australian spiders. *Curr. Microbiol.* **49**, 208–214.
- Schulenburg, J. H. G. v. d., Hurst, G. D. D., Tetzlaff, D., Booth, G. E., Zakharov, I. A. & Majerus, M. E. N. 2002 History of infection with different male-killing bacteria in the two-spot ladybird beetle *Adalia bipunctata* revealed through mitochondrial DNA analysis. *Genetics* **160**, 1075–1086.
- Shaw, K. L. 2002 Conflict between nuclear and mitochondrial DNA phylogenies of a recent species radiation: What mtDNA reveals and conceals about modes of speciation in Hawaiian crickets. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 16 122–16 127.
- Shoemaker, D. D., Katju, V. & Jaenike, J. 1999 *Wolbachia* and the evolution of reproductive isolation between *Drosophila recens* and *Drosophila subquinaria*. *Evolution* **53**, 1157–1164.
- Shoemaker, D. D., Ross, K. G., Keller, L., Vargo, E. L. & Werren, J. H. 2000 *Wolbachia* infections in native and introduced populations of fire ants (*Solenopsis* spp.). *Insect Mol. Biol.* **9**, 661–673.
- Shoemaker, D. D., Machado, C. A., Molbo, D., Werren, J. H., Windsor, D. M. & Herre, E. A. 2002 The distribution of *Wolbachia* in fig wasps: correlations with host phylogeny, ecology and population structure. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **269**, 2257–2267. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2100.)
- Shoemaker, D. D., Keller, G. & Ross, K. G. 2003 Effects of *Wolbachia* on mtDNA variation in two fire ant species. *Mol. Ecol.* **12**, 1757–1771.
- Shoemaker, D. D., Dyer, K. A., Ahrens, M., McAbee, K. & Jaenike, J. 2004 Decreased diversity but increased substitution rate in host mtDNA as a consequence of a *Wolbachia* endosymbiont infection. *Genetics* **168**, 2049–2058.
- Sironi, M., Bandi, C., Sacchi, L., Di Sacco, B., Damiani, G. & Genchi, C. 1995 A close relative of the arthropod endosymbiont *Wolbachia* in a filarial worm. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **74**, 223–227.
- Stouthamer, R., Breeuwer, J. A. J. & Hurst, G. D. D. 1999 *Wolbachia pipientis*: microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **53**, 71–102.
- Sun, L. V., Foster, J. M., Tzertzinis, G., Ono, M., Bandi, C., Slatko, B. E. & O'Neill, S. L. 2001 Determination of *Wolbachia* genome size by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *J. Bacteriol.* **183**, 2219–2225.
- Tajima, F. 1989a The effect of change in population-size on DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* **123**, 597–601.
- Tajima, F. 1989b Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* **123**, 585–595.
- Tamura, K. 1992 The rate and pattern of nucleotide substitution in *Drosophila* mitochondrial-DNA. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **9**, 814–825.
- Terry, R. S. *et al.* 2004 Widespread vertical transmission and associated host sex-ratio distortion within the eukaryotic phylum Microspora. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **271**, 1783–1789. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2004.2793.)
- Tsuchida, T., Koga, R., Shibao, H., Matsumoto, T. & Fukatsu, T. 2002 Diversity and geographic distribution of secondary endosymbiotic bacteria in natural populations of the pea aphid, *Acyrtosiphon pisum*. *Mol. Ecol.* **11**, 2123–2135.
- Tsuchida, T., Koga, R. & Fukatsu, T. 2004 Host plant specialization governed by facultative symbiont. *Science* **303**, 1989–1989.
- Turelli, M. & Hoffmann, A. A. 1991 Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California *Drosophila*. *Nature* **353**, 440–442.
- Turelli, M., Hoffmann, A. A. & McKechnie, S. W. 1992 Dynamics of cytoplasmic incompatibility and mtDNA variation in natural *Drosophila simulans* populations. *Genetics* **132**, 713–723.
- Weeks, A. R., Velten, R. & Stouthamer, R. 2003 Incidence of a new sex-ratio-distorting endosymbiotic bacterium among arthropods. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **270**, 1857–1865. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2425.)
- Wernegreen, J. J. 2002 Genome evolution in bacterial endosymbionts of insects. *Nature Rev. Gen.* **3**, 850–861.
- Werren, J. H. & Windsor, D. M. 2000 *Wolbachia* infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium?. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **267**, 1277–1285. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2000.1139.)
- Werren, J. H., Zhang, W. & Guo, L. R. 1995 Evolution and phylogeny of *Wolbachia*: reproductive parasites of the arthropods. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **261**, 55–71.